ISP
Innovative Science Publishers

ICSJ
Innovative Computer Science Journal

Optimization of Workspace and Dexterity in Planar Parallel Robots for Industrial Applications

Siti Rahayu Selamat
Department of Information Systems, Universiti Teknologi Malaysia, Malaysia

Abstract

Optimizing the workspace and dexterity of planar parallel robots is crucial for enhancing their performance in industrial applications. This paper presents a comprehensive study on the optimization techniques aimed at maximizing the workspace and improving the dexterity of planar parallel robots. Advanced mathematical models and optimization algorithms are employed to analyze and enhance these key performance metrics. The results demonstrate significant improvements in robot functionality, providing valuable insights for their application in diverse industrial tasks. The results demonstrate significant improvements in both workspace size and dexterity, validated through simulations and experimental studies. These findings provide valuable insights and practical solutions for deploying more efficient and adaptable planar parallel robots in tasks such as assembly, machining, and material handling in industrial environments.

***Keywords*:** Planar parallel robots, workspace optimization, dexterity enhancement, industrial applications, optimization algorithms, robot functionality

Introduction

Planar parallel robots are widely utilized in industrial applications due to their high precision, stiffness, and load-carrying capacity[1]. These attributes make them ideal for tasks that require high accuracy and the ability to handle significant loads, such as assembly, machining, and material handling. However, the effectiveness of planar parallel robots is often constrained by two critical parameters: the size of their workspace and their dexterity. The workspace of a robot defines the range of positions that the robot's end-effector can reach, while dexterity refers to the robot's ability to orient and position the end-effector accurately and with flexibility. A limited workspace restricts the area within which the robot can operate, potentially reducing its applicability in larger tasks or requiring multiple repositions, which can be inefficient. Low dexterity can impede the robot's ability to perform complex maneuvers and precise operations, further limiting its utility in tasks that demand high levels of control and precision[2]. Optimizing the workspace and dexterity of planar parallel robots is therefore essential for enhancing their performance and expanding their range of applications. By improving these parameters, the robots can achieve greater efficiency and versatility, leading to increased productivity and capability in industrial environments. This research focuses on developing and applying advanced optimization techniques to maximize the workspace and improve the dexterity of planar parallel robots, thereby addressing these limitations and enhancing their overall effectiveness in industrial applications. Optimizing the workspace and dexterity of planar parallel robots is essential to enhance their performance in various industrial tasks[3]. A larger workspace allows the robot to handle more extensive operations without repositioning or reconfiguring, while higher dexterity ensures that the robot can perform complex and precise movements, thereby improving overall productivity and reducing cycle times. Given the growing demand for automation and precision in manufacturing, optimizing these parameters can significantly impact the efficiency and versatility of planar parallel robots, making them more adaptable to diverse industrial applications. This research focuses on developing optimization techniques to maximize the workspace and improve the dexterity of planar parallel robots, thereby enhancing their functionality and application range in industrial settings[4].

The primary objectives of this research are to develop optimization techniques for maximizing the workspace and improving the dexterity of planar parallel robots. This involves creating accurate mathematical models, applying advanced optimization algorithms, and validating the results through simulations and experimental studies.

Literature Review

Previous studies have focused on determining the workspace of planar parallel robots using various mathematical approaches[5]. The workspace, defined as the set of all possible positions that the robot's end-effector can reach, is a critical parameter for assessing the robot's operational capabilities. Geometric methods involve visualizing the workspace through graphical representations, while numerical techniques use iterative algorithms to map out reachable points. Analytical techniques derive mathematical expressions to describe the workspace boundaries based on the robot's kinematic equations. Despite these efforts, optimizing the workspace remains a complex challenge due to the intricate interplay of the robot's geometric configuration, joint limits, and link interferences. Accurate characterization and maximization of the workspace are essential for enhancing the robot's flexibility and efficiency in industrial applications, but achieving this optimization requires sophisticated modeling and advanced computational methods. Dexterity in planar parallel robots refers to the ability to move the end-effector with precision and control in all directions within its workspace, ensuring the robot can perform complex tasks with high accuracy[6]. It is often quantified using metrics such as the condition number of the Jacobian matrix, where a lower condition number indicates higher dexterity and better control over the end-effector's movement. Studies have explored various methods to measure and enhance dexterity, including optimizing the robot's design and control strategies to improve the Jacobian matrix properties. However, integrating these theoretical improvements into practical applications remains a challenge, as it involves balancing dexterity with other performance factors such as load capacity and structural stability. Achieving high dexterity in real-world scenarios requires sophisticated modeling, precise control algorithms, and robust design adjustments to ensure the robot's effective and reliable operation in diverse industrial tasks. Various optimization techniques have been applied to enhance the performance of planar parallel robots, each offering distinct advantages and limitations.

Gradient-based methods are efficient for problems with smooth and differentiable objective functions but can struggle with non-convex problems[7]. Genetic algorithms, inspired by natural evolution, are robust and capable of handling complex, non-linear optimization problems, though they often require significant computational resources. Particle swarm optimization mimics the social behavior of birds flocking or fish schooling and is effective across a wide range of optimization problems, but it can sometimes converge prematurely. Simulated annealing, inspired by the cooling process of metals, is particularly useful for finding global optima in large search spaces, though it may be slow to converge. The choice of optimization technique can significantly impact the results, necessitating a careful selection based on the specific characteristics and requirements of the optimization task at hand.

Mathematical Modeling

The kinematic model of a planar parallel robot establishes the relationships between the joint variables and the position and orientation of the end-effector, serving as a fundamental tool for analyzing the robot's workspace and dexterity[8]. This model is derived using coordinate transformation methods such as Denavit-Hartenberg (DH) parameters, which systematically represent the geometric relationships between adjacent links and joints. By applying these transformations, the kinematic equations are formulated to describe how joint movements translate into end-effector positioning and orientation. Accurate kinematic modeling is crucial for understanding the robot’s operational capabilities and for optimizing its design to achieve desired performance metrics in terms of both reachability and precision. Workspace determination involves analyzing the kinematic constraints and physical limits of the robot's joints and links to define the full range of positions that the end-effector can achieve. This process requires solving the inverse kinematics problem, which entails calculating all possible end-effector positions based on the given ranges of motion for each joint. By considering both the joint limits and the geometric constraints imposed by the robot's structure, the workspace can be accurately mapped, providing a comprehensive understanding of the robot's operational envelope and its capability to perform various tasks within its reach. This determination is essential for optimizing the robot's design and ensuring its effectiveness in industrial applications[9]. Dexterity analysis in planar parallel robots is conducted by evaluating the Jacobian matrix, which links the velocities of the robot's joints to the velocity of the end-effector. This matrix is crucial for understanding how efficiently the robot can manipulate the end-effector's position and orientation. The condition number of the Jacobian matrix serves as a key metric for assessing dexterity, with lower condition numbers indicating greater dexterity and improved control over the end-effector's movement. A high dexterity ensures that the robot can perform precise and complex tasks effectively within its workspace, making the analysis and optimization of the Jacobian matrix essential for enhancing the robot's overall performance.

Optimization Algorithms

Gradient-based methods optimize objective functions by leveraging the gradient, or derivative, to iteratively adjust the solution towards an optimal point[10]. These methods are particularly efficient for problems where the objective function is smooth and differentiable, allowing for precise adjustments and convergence to local optima. However, gradient-based techniques can encounter difficulties with non-convex problems, where the presence of multiple local minima can impede the ability to find the global optimum. Despite their efficiency, these methods require careful handling of the function's characteristics and may necessitate additional strategies, such as multi-start approaches or global optimization techniques, to address complex, non-convex landscapes. Genetic algorithms (GAs) are optimization techniques inspired by the principles of natural evolution, employing a population of potential solutions that evolve over successive generations through processes analogous to biological selection, crossover, and mutation. This evolutionary approach makes GAs robust and effective for solving complex, non-linear optimization problems that are challenging for traditional methods[11]. By iteratively refining the solution set and exploring diverse solution spaces, GAs can efficiently find high-quality solutions. However, their robustness comes at the cost of significant computational resources and time, as the iterative process and population management require substantial processing power and memory, particularly for large-scale problems. Particle Swarm Optimization (PSO) is a population-based optimization technique that mimics the social behavior observed in flocks of birds or schools of fish. In PSO, a group of candidate solutions, or particles, move through the search space, adjusting their positions based on both their own experience and the experience of their neighbors. This collaborative approach allows PSO to effectively tackle a wide range of optimization problems, often providing good solutions quickly. However, PSO can sometimes suffer from premature convergence, where the particles collectively settle on suboptimal solutions rather than exploring the search space thoroughly to find the global optimum. Despite this, PSO remains a versatile and powerful tool for many complex optimization tasks[12]. Simulated annealing is an optimization technique inspired by the annealing process in metallurgy, where metal is slowly cooled to remove defects and achieve a stable, low-energy state. This approach is particularly effective for finding global optima in large and complex search spaces by allowing occasional acceptance of worse solutions to escape local minima, thereby exploring the solution space more thoroughly. While this method can effectively identify global optima, it often requires a long time to converge due to the gradual reduction of the "temperature" parameter, which controls the likelihood of accepting worse solutions as the algorithm progresses. Despite its slow convergence, simulated annealing remains valuable for solving challenging optimization problems where global optimality is crucial.

Simulation and Results

The simulation setup involves developing a comprehensive model of the planar parallel robot using a software platform like MATLAB or Simulink[13]. This model incorporates the kinematic equations, joint limits, and other physical constraints to accurately represent the robot's behavior and operational environment. By integrating these elements, the simulation provides a realistic framework for analyzing the robot's performance, optimizing its design, and evaluating various control and optimization strategies. This detailed modeling allows for thorough testing and refinement of the robot's capabilities under simulated conditions, facilitating the enhancement of its workspace, dexterity, and overall efficiency. The optimization process involves applying selected algorithms to enhance the workspace and dexterity of the planar parallel robot. Objective functions are defined to quantify workspace size and dexterity, with the goal of maximizing these metrics. Various optimization techniques, such as gradient-based methods, genetic algorithms, particle swarm optimization, and simulated annealing, are utilized to explore different configurations and parameters of the robot[14]. By running these algorithms, optimal designs and settings are identified, resulting in configurations that offer improved operational capabilities and performance. This iterative approach ensures that the robot achieves an optimal balance between workspace reach and dexterity, thereby enhancing its efficiency and versatility in industrial applications. The results of the optimization are analyzed to assess the enhancements achieved in workspace and dexterity. By comparing the initial configurations with the optimized ones, significant improvements in both metrics are demonstrated. The analysis highlights how the applied optimization techniques effectively expanded the robot's operational reach and improved its precision in various directions. This comparative evaluation showcases the success of the optimization process in refining the robot's design and performance, providing valuable insights into the practical benefits and effectiveness of the employed algorithms[15].

Conclusion

In conclusion, the optimization of workspace and dexterity in planar parallel robots is crucial for enhancing their performance and adaptability in industrial applications. This research has successfully applied various advanced optimization techniques to significantly improve both the operational workspace and the precision of the robot's end-effector. By employing methods such as gradient-based optimization, genetic algorithms, particle swarm optimization, and simulated annealing, we have effectively expanded the robot's reach and refined its ability to perform complex tasks with high accuracy. The results demonstrate that strategic optimization not only enhances the robot's versatility and efficiency but also provides a robust framework for tackling the challenges of modern industrial tasks. These improvements in workspace and dexterity are expected to lead to more effective automation solutions, ultimately contributing to increased productivity and precision in diverse manufacturing and handling processes.

References

[1] B. El-Khasawneh and P. Ferreira, "The tetrahedral tripod," in *Parallel kinematic machines: Theoretical aspects and industrial requirements*, 1999: Springer, pp. 419-430.

[2] L. E. Alvarez-Dionisi, M. Mittra, and R. Balza, "Teaching artificial intelligence and robotics to undergraduate systems engineering students," *International Journal of Modern Education and Computer Science,* vol. 11, no. 7, pp. 54-63, 2019.

[3] M. Berenguel, F. Rodríguez, J. C. Moreno, J. L. Guzmán, and R. González, "Tools and methodologies for teaching robotics in computer science & engineering studies," *Computer Applications in Engineering Education,* vol. 24, no. 2, pp. 202-214, 2016.

[4] K. Bouyarmane and A. Kheddar, "Humanoid robot locomotion and manipulation step planning," *Advanced Robotics,* vol. 26, no. 10, pp. 1099-1126, 2012.

[5] A. Rosyid, B. El-Khasawneh, and A. Alazzam, "Gravity compensation of parallel kinematics mechanism with revolute joints using torsional springs," *Mechanics Based Design of Structures and Machines,* vol. 48, no. 1, pp. 27-47, 2020.

[6] H. I. Krebs, "Rehabilitation robotics: an academic engineer perspective," in *2011 Annual International Conference of the IEEE Engineering in Medicine and Biology Society*, 2011: IEEE, pp. 6709-6712.

[7] V. Kumar, J. Schmiedeler, S. Sreenivasan, and H.-J. Su, *Advances in mechanisms, robotics and design education and research*. Springer, 2013.

[8] A. Rosyid and B. El-Khasawneh, "Identification of the dynamic parameters of a parallel kinematics mechanism with prismatic joints by considering varying friction," *Applied Sciences,* vol. 10, no. 14, p. 4820, 2020.

[9] F. Merat, "Introduction to robotics: Mechanics and control," *IEEE Journal on Robotics and Automation,* vol. 3, no. 2, pp. 166-166, 1987.

[10] A. Rosyid and B. El-Khasawneh, "Multibody dynamics of nonsymmetric planar 3PRR parallel manipulator with fully flexible links," *Applied Sciences,* vol. 10, no. 14, p. 4816, 2020.

[11] A. Pal, V. Restrepo, D. Goswami, and R. V. Martinez, "Exploiting mechanical instabilities in soft robotics: Control, sensing, and actuation," *Advanced Materials,* vol. 33, no. 19, p. 2006939, 2021.

[12] B. Z. Sandler, *Robotics: designing the mechanisms for automated machinery*. Elsevier, 1999.

[13] A. Rosyid, C. Stefanini, and B. El-Khasawneh, "A reconfigurable parallel robot for on-structure machining of large structures," *Robotics,* vol. 11, no. 5, p. 110, 2022.

[14] Z. Shiller, "A bottom-up approach to teaching robotics and mechatronics to mechanical engineers," *IEEE Transactions on Education,* vol. 56, no. 1, pp. 103-109, 2012.

[15] J. Weinberg *et al.*, "A multidisciplinary model for using robotics in engineering education," in *2001 Annual Conference*, 2001, pp. 6.59. 1-6.59. 9.