

Cyber Security Implications of Quantum Computing: Shor's Algorithm and Beyond

Anwar Mohammed^{1,2}

¹ Rakbank, National Bank of U.A.E

² Singhania University, India

Corresponding Email: anwarmohammed567@outlook.com

Abstract

This study investigates the significant cybersecurity risks associated with quantum computing, particularly through Shor's Algorithm, which poses a threat to established encryption standards such as RSA and ECDSA in industries such as finance, government and healthcare. The goal of this review is to assess the extent to which “quantum key distribution (QKD)” and “post-quantum cryptography (PQC)” work as countermeasures against these quantum threats. It addresses gaps in existing literature by proposing resilient cryptographic protocols, emphasizing lattice-based and multivariate cryptography. Methodologically, the study employs a comprehensive literature review and integrates case studies from block chain, government communications, and healthcare to analyze vulnerabilities and proposed solutions. Results highlight the critical need for integrating quantum-safe cryptographic methods to ensure the security and resilience of digital infrastructures in an increasingly quantum-enabled landscape. Ultimately, while quantum computing offers transformative potential, proactive adoption of PQC and QKD is essential to mitigate risks and maintain secure communications amidst advancing quantum technologies.

Keywords: Quantum computing, Shor's Algorithm, RSA, post-quantum cryptography, quantum key distribution (QKD), cybersecurity

1. Introduction

Quantum bits or qubits are entangled and capable of being in several states simultaneously (superposition), which allows quantum computing to take advantage of the principles of quantum physics to surpass classical computers [1]. This enables enormous volumes of data to be processed in parallel by quantum computers, providing exponential speedups for certain algorithms such as Grover's database search and Shor's factoring of large numbers [2]. Ion traps and superconducting circuits are examples of physical implementations that exhibit the way in which quantum computing can be used to enhance computational accuracy and efficiency [3].

Quantum computing represents a transformative frontier in technology, poised to revolutionize fields from chemistry and materials science to cryptography and artificial intelligence [4]. Quantum computers, by simulating highly entangled quantum states, promise breakthroughs in understanding complex molecules, designing novel materials, and exploring fundamental physics beyond current computational capacities [5]. Despite challenges in scaling quantum devices and error correction, ongoing research and investment suggest that quantum computing holds immense promise to revolutionize scientific inquiry and technological innovation in the coming decades [6].

Quantum computing and cybersecurity are intricately linked, as the evolving cyber threat landscape necessitates advanced encryption methods to safeguard sensitive information [7]. While standard encryption protects the confidentiality, integrity and authenticity of data, quantum computing challenges these conventional techniques by using quantum physics to conduct complicated computations [8]. Collaboration is required to secure digital communications as the incorporation of quantum computing into cybersecurity holds promising potential for improvements in “quantum-safe cryptography” and “quantum key distribution (QKD)”. However, it also introduces new challenges and vulnerabilities that must be carefully addressed [9].

Cybersecurity is essential in the digital age to safeguard monetary transactions, confidential information and important infrastructure against cyber threats [10]. As reliance on digital platforms grows, robust cybersecurity measures ensure privacy, data integrity and trust in online interactions, safeguarding against evolving cyber-attacks with far-reaching consequences [11]. Cybersecurity continues to be essential to digital resilience and confidence in the interconnected digital ecosystem in a world where cyber threats are ever-changing.

Shor's algorithm efficiently factors huge integers exponentially quicker than classical algorithms, posing a serious threat to cybersecurity and perhaps compromising well-established public-key cryptography techniques such as “RSA” and “ECC” [12]. In addition to Shor's algorithm, other quantum algorithms designed for anomaly detection, pattern recognition, and quantum machine learning have the potential to enhance threat detection capabilities and bolster cybersecurity defenses [13]. Quantum computing has a revolutionary effect on cybersecurity practices, as evidenced by effective identification of malicious activities and adaptive responses through the integration of quantum algorithms into cybersecurity systems [14].

The emergence of quantum computing, as demonstrated by “Shor's Algorithm”, presents a serious threat to existing cybersecurity protocols since it factors huge integers efficiently, compromising well-established public-key cryptography systems such as “RSA” and “ECC”. Despite the promising advancements in quantum-safe cryptography and quantum key distribution, there remains a critical research gap in effectively

integrating these solutions to counter the emerging vulnerabilities introduced by quantum algorithms. The main aim of this review is to explore the cybersecurity implications of quantum computing, focusing on Shor's Algorithm and beyond, while highlighting the need for developing robust post-quantum cryptographic methods and adaptive cybersecurity strategies to protect sensitive data in an evolving digital landscape.

2. Method

2.1 Search Strategy

In this review, the author utilized previous 7-year researches that were published in peer-reviewed journals. Data was searched on widely used databases such as PubMed, NIH, and Google Scholar. Time frame filters were then applied to improve the review. In order to conduct this review, data was collected with a specific focus on publications released between 2018 and 2024 investigating Cyber Security Implications of Quantum Computing: Shor's Algorithm and Beyond. As indicated in Table 1 below, the author chose particular search approaches in order to obtain the data.

Table 1: Search Strategies

S. No.	Search Strategy
1.	("Quantum computing") AND ("Post-Quantum Cryptography") OR ("Quantum-Resistant Cryptography") AND ("Classical Cryptography")
2.	("Shor's Algorithm") AND ("Cyber Security") AND ("AI in Cyber Attacks")
3.	("Quantum Bits") AND ("Superposition ") AND ("Entanglement") AND ("RSA Encryption") AND ("Quantum Fourier Transform (QFT)")
4.	("Quantum Key Distribution (QKD)") AND ("Block chain Security") AND ("Quantum-Resistant Block chain Protocols") AND ("Quantum Computing in Healthcare")

2.2 Selection Criteria

Table 2 represents the inclusion and exclusion criteria of the studies that were utilized to review, focusing on the Cyber Security Implications of Quantum Computing: Shor's Algorithm and Beyond.

Table 2: Inclusion and Exclusion Criteria

Inclusion Criteria	Exclusion Criteria
Studies that were published in journals	Studies that were published in journals

with peer-reviewing policies provided by the publishers were included.	with peer-reviewing policies not provided by the publishers were excluded.
The studies included in the review were selected based on having the keywords Cyber Security, Quantum Computing and Shor's Algorithm.	The studies not having the specific keyword Cyber Security, Quantum Computing and Shor's Algorithm were excluded from the review.
The studies published in the last 7 years from 2018 to 2024 were included in this review.	The studies performed or papers published prior to 2018 were excluded.
Only studies that were accessible in full-text format for the public view were included.	Studies not accessible in open access format in any authorized database were excluded.

2.3 Data Analysis

15 studies were selected based on their titles, publishers and main objective of the review aligned with the current study’s rationale. The analysis of the obtained data from the 15 articles was conducted using thematic analysis as presented in the discussion. The data was obtained by utilizing recurrent keywords in the paper such as Cyber Security, Quantum Computing and Shor's Algorithm.

2.4 Quality Assessment

The review addresses the study quality based on the Cyber Security Implications of Quantum Computing: Shor's Algorithm and Beyond. It includes the criteria on which the chosen publications were most relevant to the review aim and were published between 2018-2024. Availability of full-text studies was another important requirement that was given priority. These criteria contribute to the quality and reliability of the synthesized data by developing discussions and conclusions on the efficacy of the studies included in this review. The review's main objective is to provide a thorough understanding of Cyber Security Implications of Quantum Computing: Shor's Algorithm and Beyond while incorporating the most recent data into consideration.

3. Result

Table 3 outlines the included researches and provides insights into the Cyber Security Implications of Quantum Computing: Shor's Algorithm and Beyond.

Table 3: Included Studies

S. No	Author	Journal	Title	Objective	Results
1.	[15].	Journal of Big data.	Cybersecurity data science: an overview from machine learning perspective.	The research aims to explore cybersecurity data science by gathering data from cybersecurity sources and using data-driven analytics to develop more effective and intelligent security solutions, culminating in a machine learning-based multi-layered framework for cybersecurity modeling.	The study provides insights into the role of data science in cybersecurity, discusses associated research issues and future directions and presents a machine learning framework to enhance intelligent decision-making for protecting systems from cyber-attacks.
2.	[16].	EPJ Quantum Technology.	Industry quantum computing applications.	The research aims to advance the quantum computing ecosystem in Europe, particularly in Germany, by establishing a collaborative framework through QUTAC, encompassing diverse industries and stakeholders, to ensure digital sovereignty, security and	The study identifies 24 high-value use cases across various sectors and formalizes them into reference problems and benchmarks, guiding technological progress and commercialization, ultimately benefiting all ecosystem participants, including suppliers, system integrators,

				competitiveness.	software developers, users, policymakers, funding program managers, and investors.
3.	[17].	Nuclear Physics B.	Quantum computing with classical bits.	The research aims to establish a bit-quantum map that relates classical probabilistic systems, such as Ising spins, to quantum systems (qubits), demonstrating how classical systems can replicate quantum operations and entanglement.	The study reveals that static memory materials using Ising spins can perform quantum operations such as Hadamard and CNOT gates, suggesting that features of quantum computation can be realized in classical systems, including neural networks and neuromorphic computing, without needing low temperatures or isolated entities.
4.	[18].	Physical Review A	Entanglement activation from quantum coherence and superposition.	This research aims to explore the limitations of converting quantum coherence into entanglement and to test the feasibility of such conversion within specific frameworks.	The study establishes a no-go theorem for general superposition resource theories, demonstrates possible entanglement activation using a quantum controlled-not gate within the coherence framework and reveals that trace

					norm entanglement is not a strong entanglement monotone.
5.	[19].	IET Quantum Communication	Present landscape of quantum computing	This research aims to explore the field of quantum computing, covering its fundamentals, applications, hardware technologies and the growing trend of investments and patents, emphasizing the potential threat to cryptography.	The study highlights the emergence of practical quantum computing applications, the availability of first-generation quantum computers via cloud services and the increasing investments and patents in the field due to the cryptographic threat posed by quantum computers.
6.	[20].	Proceedings of the IEEE	Challenges and opportunities of near-term quantum computing systems.	The research aims to explore and highlight IBM's perspective on noisy near-term quantum computing systems, emphasizing quantum software development, cloud accessibility, benchmarking methodologies, error correction strategies, quantum circuit complexity and	The study highlights the significant advancements in building experimental quantum computing systems capable of surpassing classical simulation limits. It showcases IBM's efforts in providing cloud-based access to quantum resources, benchmarking quantum systems,

				early quantum application feasibility.	addressing error correction challenges, and laying foundations for practical quantum applications despite current limitations in fault tolerance.
7.	[21].	Int. J. Adv. Trends Comput. Sci. Eng.	An overview of quantum cryptography and shor's algorithm .	The research aims to explore quantum cryptography mechanisms, investigate the interplay between quantum and classical encryption schemes, and provide insights into Shor's Algorithm's potential in quantum computation.	The study successfully elucidates quantum cryptography's principles, demonstrating encryption through quantum particle properties and highlighting the complexity of Shor's Algorithm, thereby informing researchers on current advancements and encouraging further exploration in quantum cryptography and computation.
8.	[22].	Modern Electronics Devices and Communication Systems: Select Proceedings of MEDCOM	Post-quantum cryptography: A solution to the challenges of classical encryption	The research aims to explore the vulnerabilities of existing cryptographic algorithms (RSA, AES, ECC) to quantum attacks and propose solutions using post-quantum	The study introduces quantum computing's impact on classical cryptographic algorithms such as RSA, AES and ECC highlighting their vulnerability to quantum attacks. It

			algorithms	cryptology (PQC) to secure online transactions and communications.	emphasizes the emergence of PQC algorithms as a secure alternative to safeguard encrypted information against quantum threats.
9.	[23].	In 2020 9th International Conference System Modeling and Advancement in Research Trends (SMART)	A review paper on DES, AES, RSA encryption standards.	The objective of the review paper is to provide a concise overview on three commonly used cryptographic algorithms: DES and AES and RSA. It aims to explain the historical background, key operations, strengths, weaknesses and how these algorithms achieve security goals such as confidentiality and integrity.	The paper sequentially reviews DES, AES, and RSA, highlighting their operational mechanisms and discussing their roles in achieving cryptographic security objectives. It clarifies the relationships between symmetric and asymmetric algorithms, providing insights into potential future research directions in the field of cryptography.
10.	[24].	Al'adzkiya International of Computer Science and Information Technology (AIOCSIT) Journal.	Utilization of the RSA Algorithm in Business Communication in Making E-commerce	To evaluate the effectiveness of RSA algorithm in enhancing data security for e-commerce applications, considering its role in providing confidentiality, integrity and	The study highlights the critical role of RSA in securing communication and transactions in e-commerce, emphasizing the need for additional security measures alongside MD5 and

			e Applicati ons.	authentication.	SHA algorithms to safeguard sensitive business information.
11.	[25].	Nature communica tions	Coherent phase transfer for real- world twin-field quantum key distributi on.	To develop a method for simultaneous quantum key streaming and control of optical channel length in long-distance fiber networks, addressing challenges in real- world quantum communication.	The research introduces interferometry techniques from frequency metrology, demonstrating effective key streaming over a 206 km field- deployed fiber with 65 dB loss. It achieves a quantum-bit-error- rate below 1%, significantly improving the stability of quantum communication channels in practical applications.
12.	[26].	Physical Review X.	Universal limitatio ns on quantum key distributi on over a network.	The research aims to analyze the distribution of secret keys in both bipartite and multipartite settings via quantum networks, establishing bounds on achievable rates. It introduces a framework for	The study demonstrates that multipartite private states derived from the protocol must be genuinely multipartite entangled. It provides bounds on secret-key and GHZ state distillation rates from multipartite quantum states and

				<p>multiplex quantum channels linking multiple parties, defining capacities for secret-key-agreement protocols and exploring the performance of quantum key repeaters and MDI-QKD setups.</p>	<p>upper bounds on secret-key-agreement capacities for teleportation-covariant multiplex quantum channels based on entanglement measures of their Choi states.</p>
13.	[27].	In PACIS	<p>Quantum Computing-The Impending End for the Blockchain?</p>	<p>This research aims to explore the impact of quantum computing on block chain security, focusing on the threats posed by Grover's and Shor's algorithms, and to review existing countermeasures, particularly post-quantum cryptography.</p>	<p>The study concludes that while quantum computing poses significant threats to block chain security, current research suggests that implementing post-quantum cryptographic methods can mitigate these risks, ensuring the block chain's resilience in the face of quantum advancements.</p>
14.	[28].	Strategic Studies Quarterly	<p>Surviving the quantum cryptocalypse.</p>	<p>To assess the quantum threat to cybersecurity by examining the current capabilities of quantum computing and the development of cryptographic</p>	<p>Experimental quantum computers exhibit potential to outperform classical supercomputers in specific tasks, posing a credible threat to current</p>

				countermeasures.	digital encryption reliant on mathematical complexity. Ongoing research focuses on certifying quantum-safe cryptographic alternatives and developing quantum networks to enhance cybersecurity, with optimistic indications that countermeasures may outpace the quantum threat, contingent on continued progress in both quantum computing and cryptographic engineering.
15.	[29].	International Journal of Fuzzy Logic and Intelligent Systems.	Analytic review of healthcare software by using quantum computing security techniques.	This research aims to enhance the security of healthcare software (HS) under quantum computing (QC) environments by evaluating and recommending robust security measures.	The study proposes a novel hybrid approach combining Fuzzy AHP (FAHP) and F-TOPSIS methodologies to assess HS security effectively with 10 quantum security algorithms. The findings suggest this approach is accurate and practical for integrating highly

					secure software solutions without compromising user experience.
--	--	--	--	--	---

4. Discussion

4.1 Cyber Security and Quantum Computing: Understanding the Current Landscape

Baseri et al., highlights the increasing interconnectivity and autonomy in systems have made them more susceptible to cyber-attacks, with cybercriminals leveraging technologies such as IoT, malware, ransomware and AI to launch sophisticated and powerful attacks. The study aims to address the challenges of defending against AI as a malicious tool by identifying, analyzing and classifying novel threats that are highly targeted, well-trained and large-scale, utilizing AI for malicious purposes [13].

The study conducted in 2020 emphasizes the importance of developing robust frameworks using advanced data analysis to enhance security operations intelligently. It employs multi-layered approaches, starting from the collection of diverse cybersecurity data sources such as network logs, firewall logs and host machine data. Through meticulous processing and preparation, including normalization and feature engineering, the framework ensures data quality. Machine learning plays an essential role for building and customizing models to detect anomalies, classify threats and predict security incidents, with an emphasis on incremental learning and dynamic updates to adapt to emerging threats. This comprehensive strategy aims to fortify cybersecurity measures effectively [15].

On the Contrary, quantum computing holds substantial impact across various industries. The applications span optimization in production and logistics, simulate complex engineering designs and enhance security through post-quantum cryptography. Industries such as automotive, manufacturing, aerospace and insurance are adopting quantum-enhanced solutions for optimizing vehicle routing, production planning and risk assessment, aiming for increased efficiency, faster problem-solving and higher accuracy in complex problem-solving scenarios [16].

4.2 Quantum Computing: Fundamental Concepts and Future Prospects

The study conducted in 2019 states that quantum computing uses “quantum bits or qubits”, which in contrast to classical bits can exist in superposition states. It employs probabilistic computing principles where operations on qubits are non-commutative

and unitary transformations, enabling parallel processing and potentially outperforming classical deterministic computing in certain tasks by encoding complex probabilistic distributions [17].

Qiao et al., demonstrated through experimental setups in quantum optics that coherence can be activated into entanglement using precise control over polarization states and an optical CNOT gate. The study demonstrates direct correlation between coherence and entanglement in quantum systems, revealing that higher initial coherence leads to greater entanglement activation, showcasing the fundamental role of coherence in quantum information processing through controlled optical steps [18].

On the other hand, the current state of quantum computing showcases significant advancements with major tech companies such as IBM, Google, Rigetti leading in superconducting circuits, essential for platforms such as IBM Quantum Experience, offering access to real quantum computers and simulators. Additionally, trapped ion technology is emerging, leveraging charged atoms in electromagnetic fields to manipulate qubits precisely. Alternative approaches such as topological quantum computing and photon-based technologies are also promising, aiming to enhance precision and improve scalability [19].

Moreover, the current state of quantum computing reflects significant advancements including hybrid quantum-classical algorithms tailored for machine learning and quantum chemistry applications. These algorithms leverage quantum circuits for nonlinear feature mapping in classification and “variational quantum eigensolvers (VQE)” for molecular energies. Experimental implementations demonstrated error mitigation techniques and optimizing trial states but challenges in scalability and reliable hardware persist, emphasizing ongoing efforts for practical quantum solutions [20].

4.3 Shor's Algorithm: Quantum Computing's Impact on Cryptography

“Shor's Algorithm, formulated by Peter Shor in 1994”, revolutionized quantum computing by providing an efficient method for factorizing large integers. The algorithm leverages the power of quantum computation to efficiently find the prime factors of a given integer, a task that forms the foundation of several encryption schemes including “RSA”. It utilizes the “Quantum Fourier Transform (QFT)” and period-finding techniques and can determine the period of a function, leading to the identification of prime factors with high efficiency. Quantum computers, operating in superposition states, process vast amounts of information simultaneously, outperforming classical computers in specific tasks. Shor's Algorithm highlights quantum computation's potential to solve complex mathematical problems, particularly in cryptography [21].

A study conducted in 2020 states that Shor's Algorithm, efficiently factorizes large numbers, essential for breaking RSA encryption. Unlike classical computing, which faces exponential complexity in factorization, Shor's Algorithm uses QFT and quantum parallelism to exploit superposition and entanglement, presenting a serious challenge to established encryption methods. This breakthrough highlights the exponential speedup of quantum computing, suggesting that as quantum technology advances, breaking current cryptographic standards could become significantly easier, necessitating updated cryptographic protocols to counter potential threats [30].

The Key differences between Classical Cryptography and Quantum-Resistant Cryptography are enlisted in Table 3 [22].

Table 4: Differences between classical cryptography and quantum-resistant cryptography

Aspect	Classical Cryptography	Quantum-Resistant Cryptography
Computational Basis	Relies on computational problems that are hard for classical computers to solve efficiently, such as factoring large numbers (RSA), discrete logarithms (DH, DSA).	Focuses on computational problems that are believed to be hard for both classical and quantum computers, including lattice-based problems, code-based problems, hash-based cryptography and multivariate cryptography.
Security Posture	Vulnerable to quantum attacks	Designed to be secure against attacks from both classical and quantum computers
Algorithms	RSA, Diffie-Hellman (DH), Digital Signature Algorithm (DSA), Elliptic Curve Cryptography (ECC) and symmetric encryption algorithms such as AES (Advanced Encryption Standard).	Includes Lattice-based (e.g., LWE, NTRU), code-based (e.g., McEliece cryptosystem), hash-based (e.g., Merkle tree) and multivariate cryptography

Key Sizes	Typically, smaller (e.g., 128-bit to 4096-bit) depending on the algorithm.	Often requires larger key sizes (several thousand bits) to achieve similar security levels.
Resistance to Quantum Attacks	Vulnerable; susceptible to Shor's and Grover's algorithms	Specifically designed to resist attacks from quantum computers
Implementation Challenges	Well-understood, widely implemented	Ongoing research; challenges in performance optimization and standardization
Adoption and Standardization	Established, widely adopted, standardized	Emerging; efforts in standardization (e.g., NIST PQC Standardization)

4.4 Case Studies

Case Study 1: Vulnerability of RSA Encryption

RSA encryption stands out as an essential asymmetric encryption scheme developed by Rivest, Shamir and Adleman in 1977. “RSA” is based on two mathematically linked keys: a public key for encryption and a private key for decryption. This is in contrast to symmetric encryption techniques such as “AES” and “DES”. The process involves generating large prime numbers, computing the modulus and selecting appropriate exponents to ensure security. The advantages of RSA lies in its capacity to provide digital signatures, guarantee non-repudiation in communications and securely exchange symmetric encryption keys. However, RSA is slower in computational speed compared to symmetric algorithms due to its intensive mathematical operations, which can impact performance in large-scale data encryption scenarios [23].

Additionally, Shor's algorithm poses a serious risk to established asymmetric cryptosystems such as RSA and Rabin by efficiently breaking their encryption and digital signature schemes. This capability allows quantum computers to derive private keys from public keys, allowing decryption of encrypted data and compromising the security of digital signatures. Experimental demonstrations on on IBM Quantum Experience confirm the feasibility of these attacks for moderate-sized integers. Future advancements in quantum computing aim to extend this threat to larger key sizes,

emphasizing the urgent need for quantum-resistant cryptography to secure sensitive communications against emerging quantum threats [31].

A study conducted in 2020 clarifies that RSA's asymmetric cryptographic algorithm ensures secure transactions by using a public-private key pair. This technology facilitates secure online transactions, protecting sensitive financial and personal information exchanged over networks. It has enabled the growth of e-commerce by ensuring data security, essential for building customer confidence and adhering to legal obligations in the banking and digital commerce industries [24].

Case Study 2: “Quantum Key Distribution (QKD)”

The implementation of “Quantum Key Distribution (QKD)” involves mitigating various sources of noise and ensure secure communication channels through advanced techniques such as twin-field QKD (TF-QKD) and active phase-noise cancellation, ensuring secure communication channels. Practical implementations integrate ultra-stable optical clocks for precise synchronization and utilizes wavelength division multiplexing in optical fiber networks to separate signals effectively. Techniques such as Doppler noise cancellation and optical filtering are essential for reducing background noise and enhancing signal purity, ensuring reliable quantum communication in diverse environmental conditions [25].

In addition to this, several notable QKD projects globally demonstrate significant advancements in satellite-based quantum communication. The Chinese quantum satellite Micius, launched in 2016, demonstrated long-distance entanglement distribution and quantum teleportation experiments, marking a milestone in quantum cryptography. European initiatives such as SOCRATES and Galassia have also contributed substantially. SOCRATES validated microsatellite capabilities with low QBER and high polarization, while Galassia demonstrated strong photon correlations in orbit. Additionally, European missions such as Alphasat and LAGEOS2 focused on preserving quantum coherence over extensive distances, essential for secure satellite-based communications. These projects highlight international collaboration towards establishing secure global quantum communication networks [32].

Das et al., states that QKD faces several challenges in achieving secure conference key agreements due to the necessity of genuine multipartite entanglement, as biseparable states are insufficient for this purpose. The distillation of maximally entangled states, such as the Φ_{GHZ3} state from Φ_{W3} states, is complex and often probabilistic, with lower bounds on conversion rates indicating inefficiencies. Additionally, practical implementation complexities arise from non-integer real number asymptotic key rates that vary with privacy parameters, computational challenges in optimizing upper bounds over biseparable states and the influence of subsystem merging and splitting on secret-key-agreement rates. Practical QKD also involves overcoming noise and ensuring

secure communication over multiplex quantum channels further complicate practical QKD, emphasizing the delicate balance between theoretical potential and practical limitations [26].

Case Study 3: Block chain and Quantum Computing

Quantum computing poses potential threats to block chain security through Grover's and Shor's algorithms, potentially compromising cryptographic protocols and data immutability. Grover's algorithm, though not yet practical, could enhance hash function attacks, enabling undetected data manipulation. Shor's algorithm, while more powerful, remains far from being executable on existing quantum hardware but ultimately threaten asymmetric cryptography in block chain. Researchers propose PQC and quantum cryptography as viable solutions. PQC aims to substitute vulnerable cryptographic algorithms with quantum-resistant alternatives, leveraging physical laws for long-term security, yet challenges in scalability of quantum networks persist. Ongoing research is essential to safeguard block chain and cryptocurrency integrity against evolving quantum risks [27].

The primary concern lies in the vulnerability of the “elliptic curve digital signature algorithm (ECDSA)” used for verification of transaction and authorization. Quantum computers, capable of executing Shor's algorithm, could decipher private keys from public keys within the block interval of each cryptocurrency. This capability may allow quantum attackers to forge transactions or alter the block chain by generating new transactions using derived private keys. The severity of these threat varies across cryptocurrencies based on factors such as block interval times, the number of qubits required for attacks and potential mitigation strategies such as multi-signature wallets to increase security [33].

Furthermore, efforts to develop quantum-resistant block chain protocols are focused on addressing vulnerabilities in current systems vulnerable to quantum attacks, especially focusing in node-to-node communication and transaction signatures utilizing “ECDH and ECDSA” algorithms. The study proposes two strategies: quantum block chain networks utilizing technologies such as “QKD and entanglement and post-quantum block chain networks” using robust algorithms such as Falcon-512 signatures and post-quantum TLS tunnels. Implemented on the LACChain Besu Network, this framework integrates quantum-random generators for entropy and ensures compatibility with Ethereum-based networks. Its goal is to protect existing block chain assets against future quantum threats while enhancing overall network security and resilience [34].

Case Study 4: Secure Communication in Government and Military

Government and military communications must utilize encryption to safeguard data from illegal access, interception and manipulation. Encryption techniques such as TLS and IPsec protect data both in transit and at rest by establishing secure connections, authenticate communicating parties and encrypt network traffic to maintain confidentiality and integrity. Additionally, data-at-rest encryption techniques such as full-disk encryption safeguard stored information on devices, ensuring confidentiality even if physical or digital storage is compromised. Overall, Robust encryption technologies are essential for these organizations to mitigate cyber threats and ensure the security of critical communications and infrastructure [35].

Additionally, government networks face an imminent threat from quantum computing, which could compromise current encryption methods including those used by governmental agencies such as RSA. To counter this risk, governmental agencies are advancing strategies for quantum-resistant encryption, centered on PQC protocols designed to withstand quantum attacks. These efforts involve transitioning to secure alternatives such as lattice-based and multivariate cryptography. The goal is to safeguard sensitive networks from potential quantum attacks, underscoring the critical need to implement and validate new cryptographic standards effectively [28].

Case Study 5: Quantum Computing in Healthcare Data Security

Quantum computing holds immense potential for healthcare innovation by utilizing concepts from quantum physics such as superposition and entanglement. It promises to transform compute-intensive tasks such as developing medicines, customized health care, genome sequencing, diagnostic imaging and operational efficiency. By enabling real-time processing of vast amount of data, quantum computing aims to enhance efficiency and accuracy in healthcare. Despite the challenges of network overhead and the need for performance assessment before deployment, quantum computing presents a promising avenue for transforming the healthcare industry [36].

The study conducted in 2023 explores the risks posed by quantum computing to healthcare data encryption, highlighting potential breach scenarios within large healthcare organizations due to the current encryption vulnerabilities to quantum attacks. Efforts to mitigate these risks focus on adopting post-quantum cryptographic methods such as the unified Fuzzy AHP-TOPSIS methodology. This approach aims to enhance the security of healthcare software systems by integrating fuzzy logic to manage uncertainty and selecting robust encryption alternatives such as QA6, which promises heightened security and user satisfaction [29].

5. Limitation and Future Implications

This literature review emphasizes the cybersecurity risks posed by Shor's Algorithm and explores post-quantum cryptography, potentially overlooking emerging quantum

algorithms. However, it offers a foundational understanding of quantum computing principles and cryptographic vulnerabilities but may not provide specific implementation strategies for quantum-safe solutions. Future research could address these limitations by integrating quantum-safe cryptographic protocols in order to mitigate vulnerabilities posed by quantum algorithms ensuring secure digital communications. Additionally, developing medicines, tailored treatment and diagnostic imaging could be improved by the use of quantum computing in healthcare. Meanwhile, block chain and cryptocurrencies face the challenge of adopting quantum-resistant protocols to protect transactions and data integrity. Furthermore, government and military sectors must adopt quantum-resistant encryption to safeguard sensitive communications and infrastructure. Overall, these developments will drive innovation across industries while shaping policy frameworks to address emerging quantum threats effectively.

6. Conclusion

Quantum computing's rapid advancement, exemplified by Shor's Algorithm, poses significant challenges to current cybersecurity protocols, especially in encryption and secure communications. While quantum-safe cryptography and quantum key distribution offer promising defenses, integrating these solutions effectively remains a critical task. Ongoing research and collaboration are essential to develop strong post-quantum cryptographic methods and adaptive cybersecurity strategies. These efforts are essential for mitigating the emerging threats posed by quantum computing and ensuring the continued security and resilience of digital infrastructures worldwide.

7. References

- [1] S. S. Gill *et al.*, "Quantum computing: A taxonomy, systematic review and future directions," *Software: Practice and Experience*, vol. 52, no. 1, pp. 66-114, 2022.
- [2] A. Wicaksana, A. Anthony, and A. W. Wicaksono, "Web-app realization of Shor's quantum factoring algorithm and Grover's quantum search algorithm," *TELKOMNIKA (Telecommunication Computing Electronics and Control)*, vol. 18, no. 3, pp. 1319-1330, 2020.
- [3] Y. Cao *et al.*, "Quantum chemistry in the age of quantum computing," *Chemical reviews*, vol. 119, no. 19, pp. 10856-10915, 2019.
- [4] B. Bauer, S. Bravyi, M. Motta, and G. K.-L. Chan, "Quantum algorithms for quantum chemistry and quantum materials science," *Chemical Reviews*, vol. 120, no. 22, pp. 12685-12717, 2020.
- [5] L. B. Oftelie, M. Urbanek, M. Metcalf, J. Carter, A. F. Kemper, and W. A. de Jong, "Simulating quantum materials with digital quantum computers," *Quantum Science and Technology*, vol. 6, no. 4, p. 043002, 2021.

- [6] J. Preskill, "Quantum computing in the NISQ era and beyond," *Quantum*, vol. 2, p. 79, 2018.
- [7] M. Nahed and S. Alawneh, "Cybersecurity in a post-quantum world: How quantum computing will forever change the world of cybersecurity," *American Journal of Electrical and Computer Engineering*, vol. 12, no. 1, pp. 81-93, 2020.
- [8] E. D. Peet and M. J. Vermeer, "Securing communications in the quantum computing age: managing the risks to encryption," 2020.
- [9] J. Ahn *et al.*, "Toward quantum secured distributed energy resources: Adoption of post-quantum cryptography (pqc) and quantum key distribution (qkd)," *Energies*, vol. 15, no. 3, p. 714, 2022.
- [10] O. Efijemue *et al.*, "Cybersecurity strategies for safeguarding customers data and preventing financial fraud in the United States financial sectors," *International Journal of Soft Computing*, vol. 14, no. 3, pp. 10-5121, 2023.
- [11] J. K. Lee, Y. Chang, H. Y. Kwon, and B. Kim, "Reconciliation of privacy with preventive cybersecurity: The bright internet approach," *Information Systems Frontiers*, vol. 22, pp. 45-57, 2020.
- [12] Y. Wang, H. Zhang, and H. Wang, "Quantum polynomial-time fixed-point attack for RSA," *China Communications*, vol. 15, no. 2, pp. 25-32, 2018.
- [13] Y. Baseri, V. Chouhan, and A. Ghorbani, "Cybersecurity in the Quantum Era: Assessing the Impact of Quantum Computing on Infrastructure," *arXiv preprint arXiv:2404.10659*, 2024.
- [14] O. A. Ajala, C. A. Arinze, O. C. Ofodile, C. C. Okoye, and A. I. Daraojimba, "Exploring and reviewing the potential of quantum computing in enhancing cybersecurity encryption methods," 2024.
- [15] I. H. Sarker, A. Kayes, S. Badsha, H. Alqahtani, P. Watters, and A. Ng, "Cybersecurity data science: an overview from machine learning perspective," *Journal of Big data*, vol. 7, pp. 1-29, 2020.
- [16] A. Bayerstadler *et al.*, "Industry quantum computing applications," *EPJ Quantum Technology*, vol. 8, no. 1, p. 25, 2021.
- [17] C. Wetterich, "Quantum computing with classical bits," *Nuclear Physics B*, vol. 948, p. 114776, 2019.
- [18] L.-F. Qiao *et al.*, "Entanglement activation from quantum coherence and superposition," *Physical Review A*, vol. 98, no. 5, p. 052351, 2018.
- [19] V. Hassija *et al.*, "Present landscape of quantum computing," *IET Quantum Communication*, vol. 1, no. 2, pp. 42-48, 2020.
- [20] A. D. Córcoles *et al.*, "Challenges and opportunities of near-term quantum computing systems," *Proceedings of the IEEE*, vol. 108, no. 8, pp. 1338-1352, 2019.
- [21] C. Ugwuishiwu, U. Orji, C. Ugwu, and C. Asogwa, "An overview of quantum cryptography and shor's algorithm," *Int. J. Adv. Trends Comput. Sci. Eng*, vol. 9, no. 5, 2020.

- [22] S. Sharma, K. Ramkumar, A. Kaur, T. Hasija, S. Mittal, and B. Singh, "Post-quantum cryptography: A solution to the challenges of classical encryption algorithms," *Modern Electronics Devices and Communication Systems: Select Proceedings of MEDCOM 2021*, pp. 23-38, 2023.
- [23] A. Hamza and B. Kumar, "A review paper on DES, AES, RSA encryption standards," in *2020 9th International Conference System Modeling and Advancement in Research Trends (SMART)*, 2020: IEEE, pp. 333-338.
- [24] F. Fauzi and M. Fachry, "Utilization of the RSA Algorithm in Business Communication in Making e-Commerce Applications," *Al'adzkiya International of Computer Science and Information Technology (AIOCSIT) Journal*, vol. 1, no. 1, 2020.
- [25] C. Clivati *et al.*, "Coherent phase transfer for real-world twin-field quantum key distribution," *Nature communications*, vol. 13, no. 1, p. 157, 2022.
- [26] S. Das, S. Bäuml, M. Winczewski, and K. Horodecki, "Universal limitations on quantum key distribution over a network," *Physical Review X*, vol. 11, no. 4, p. 041016, 2021.
- [27] N. Kappert, E. Karger, and M. Kureljusic, "Quantum Computing-The Impending End for the Blockchain?," in *PACIS*, 2021, p. 114.
- [28] J. R. Lindsay, "Surviving the quantum cryptocalypse," *Strategic Studies Quarterly*, vol. 14, no. 2, pp. 49-73, 2020.
- [29] S. H. Almotiri, M. Nadeem, M. A. Al Ghamdi, and R. A. Khan, "Analytic review of healthcare software by using quantum computing security techniques," *International Journal of Fuzzy Logic and Intelligent Systems*, vol. 23, no. 3, pp. 336-352, 2023.
- [30] V. Bhatia and K. Ramkumar, "An efficient quantum computing technique for cracking RSA using Shor's algorithm," in *2020 IEEE 5th international conference on computing communication and automation (ICCCA)*, 2020: IEEE, pp. 89-94.
- [31] R. Thombre and B. Jajodia, "Experimental analysis of attacks on rsa & rabin cryptosystems using quantum shor's algorithm," in *Proceedings of International Conference on Women Researchers in Electronics and Computing*, 2021.
- [32] O. Lee and T. Vergoossen, "An updated analysis of satellite quantum-key distribution missions," *arXiv preprint arXiv:1909.13061*, 2019.
- [33] S. Holmes and L. Chen, "Assessment of quantum threat to bitcoin and derived cryptocurrencies," *Cryptology ePrint Archive*, 2021.
- [34] M. Allende *et al.*, "Quantum-resistance in blockchain networks," *Scientific Reports*, vol. 13, no. 1, p. 5664, 2023.
- [35] I. Naseer, "Cyber Defense for Data Protection and Enhancing Cyber Security Networks for Military and Government Organizations," *MZ Computing Journal*, vol. 1, no. 1, 2020.

- [36] R. Ur Rasool, H. F. Ahmad, W. Rafique, A. Qayyum, J. Qadir, and Z. Anwar, "Quantum computing for healthcare: A review," *Future Internet*, vol. 15, no. 3, p. 94, 2023.